List of Figures

Figure 1. Levi-Straussian relationship between the cooked, the raw, and the rotten (based on Lévi-Strauss 1965). 15
Figure 2. The culinary triangle (after Lévi-Strauss 1965, 26). 16
Figure 3. Harris' Infrastructure-Structre-Superstrucure model. 20
Figure 4. Reproduction of the food choice model (after Furst et al. 1996, fig. 1). 26
Figure 5. A food choice process model (after Sobal and Bisogni 2009, fig. 2). 29
Figure 6. Reproduction of Clark's archaeological evidence that form the structure of a society (Clark 1939, 152). 38
Figure 7. A model for the flow of food culture signals into deposition in an archaeological context (Isaksson 2010, 5). 42
Figure 8. Starčevo-Körös-Criş complex zone and related sites. These sites, located in modern Serbia, Romania, and Hungary, were part of the present residue analysis study. (Regional outlines based on Astalos, Sommer, and Virag 2013, fig. 1; map based on Google Earth. 62
Figure 9. Starčevo anthropomorphic (A–B) figurines and zoomorphic vessels (C). A) figurines from Vinkovci (after Becker 2007, fig. 6); B) figurine from Donja Branjevina (after Becker 2007, fig. 6); C) vessel from Donja Branjevina (after Karmanski 1987, fig. a). 83
Figure 10. Typological representation of vessel forms for this study. 88
Figure 11. A) Map of the Iron Gates region, including important sites of the Mesolithic and Neolithic period. B) Pictures of the Đerdap and C) the downstream area (Cramp et al. 2019: fig. 1). 98
Figure 12. Plots of the δ13C values for animal residue in archaeological site from Early Neolithic Balkans and Carpathian Basin. A) South Balkans: Yabalkovo and Nova Nadezhda; B) North Balkans: Blagotin, Grivac, and Divostin; C) Iron Gates: Lepenski Vir and Schela Cladovei; D) Carpathian Basin: Alsónyék and Ecsegfalva 23 (including results from Craig et al. 2007). 108
Figure 13. Animal residues found in vessels according to their typology. 116
Figure 14. Percentage of domesticates and large game per sites. 124
Figure 15. Pie charts of regional distribution of cattle, caprines, pigs, and large game in percentage. 125

Published in: Jonathan Ethier: Cooking Food—Creating Culture? Food Culture as a Concept for Archaeology. A Case Study from Early Neolithic Southeast Europe. Heidelberg: Propylaeum, 2026.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.11588/propylaeum.1694

Figure 16. Animal frequencies at sites. A) Pig frequency; B) Cattle frequency; C) Large and medium-size game frequency (after Ivanova et al. 2018: fig. 4).....126
Figure 17. Presence of animal taxa at sites in percentage according to their NISP (after Ivanova et al. 2018: fig. 4).....130
Figure 18. Zooarchaeological and residue analyses results from the Iron Gates. A) Summary of faunal assemblages Vlasac, Lepenski Vir, Schela Cladovei, based on their NISP; B) Plots of the δ13C values for animal residue from Gates (red circles and stars), in contrast to North and South Balkans and the Carpathian Basin (grey circles). Red related to aquatic markers (after Cramp et al. 2019, fig. .3). 135
Figure 19. Fishing tools and remains. A) fishing device from the Iron Gates to catch starlet, a smaller species of sturgeon (after Dinu 2010, fig. 5) B) Early Neolithic fishing hooks made of bones from the Iron Gates (after Dinu 2010, fig. 2) C) two engraved stone clubs or mallets (after Borić 2005a, fig. 14).....139
Figure 20. Interpolated map of Old World LP phenotype frequencies. Dots represent collection locations. Colours and colours key show the frequencies of the LP phenotype estimated by surface interpolation. (after Itan et al. 2010, fig. 1).....147
Figure 21. Human-fish presentation. (Srejović and Babović 1983: 116).....154