List of Figures

2.1 Michelangelo, *The Punishment of Tityus*, 1532, Charcoal drawing, a gift to Tommaso de' Cavalieri, Royal Collection Trust (Wikimedia Commons, public domain) 18

3.1 The gold standard schema created by the application of CQs to the golden example from the literature, namely, Panofsky's interpretation of *The Punishment of Tityus* by Michelangelo (see Section 2.1) 45
3.2 Landscape of the knowledge graphs in terms of their iconographical and iconological statements, and the underlying schema structures that describe them 53

4.1 How the research questions are related 62
4.2 Approach overview 63
4.3 Timeline 64
4.4 Workflow adopted for reconciliation 70
4.5 Workflow of ontological modeling and data creation 72

5.1 Definition of the characteristics of the domain: how the entities involved in each level of interpretation relate to each other 82
5.2 Overview of the main ICON ontology classes and properties linked to recognitions 94
5.3 ICON ontology classes and properties describing the pre-iconographical level of interpretation (level 1) 99
5.4 ICON ontology classes and properties describing the iconographical level of interpretation (level 2) 102
5.5 ICON ontology classes and properties describing the iconological level of interpretation (level 3) 103
5.6 Alignment with other ontologies of the artwork description 108

6.1 Modeling of artworks 123
6.2 Modeling of people 124
6.3 Modeling of books 126

Published in: Sofia Baroncini, Diving into artworks interpretations through the lenses of semantic data. An Application on Panofsky's Iconological Studies, Heidelberg: arthistoricum.net 2026, https://doi.org/10.11588/arthistoricum.1462

6.4 Modeling of books content . . . 126
6.5 Modeling of places . . . 127
6.6 a) Detail of (feature-of relation), b) Modeling of specific location . . . 129
6.7 *Feature of* relation . . . 129
6.8 *Pendant of* relation . . . 130
6.9 Caption for LOF . . . 143
6.10 summary of the process of the scholar's text understanding and abstraction and how this content can be described according to the three-level approach, applied to the selected case study . . . 144
6.11 Modeling of the metadata of the Roman relief . . . 150
6.12 Modeling of the pre-iconographical Recognitions of the Roman relief 151
6.13 Modeling of the pre-iconographical Recognitions of the Medieval relief . . . 152
6.14 Modeling of the formal motifs Recognitions of the case studies . . . 153
6.15 Modeling of the Iconographical Recognitions of the Roman relief . . 154
6.16 Modeling of the Iconographical Recognitions of the Medieval relief . 155
6.17 Modeling of the Iconological Recognitions of the Medieval relief . . . 156

7.1 a) Frequency of artworks per date; b) frequency of cultural phenomena types; c) the number of recognitions per person responsible; d) term cloud of second level-subjects . . . 160
7.2 Number of artworks having a third-level subject, either a cultural phenomenon, a concept, or both of them . . . 164
7.3 Results of SQ2, showing the percentage of the times the subject appears in artworks having the specified phenomenon type over the total of artworks in which the phenomenon is registered. . . . 165
7.4 a) Results of SQ3, showing the type of cited evidence; b) Results of SQ4, showing the co-occurrence of subject type (support: 0.20, confidence: 0.90) . . . 166
7.5 a) SQ5 results: sankey diagram showing the frequency with which recognitions of Cultural Phenomena refer to a specific part of the artwork; b) SQ6 results: recognitions of cultural phenomena (blue) associated with artworks having a style (yellow), citing as evidence artworks having a style associated (pink) . . . 170
7.6 SQ6: artworks with a cultural phenomenon (red) and a style (yellow). The weight of the edges represents the number of artworks having the same co-occurrence of style and cultural phenomenon . . . 171
7.7 Symbolic meanings having more than one symbol associated (SQ7) . 175
7.8 Symbols expressing more than one meaning (SQ8) . . . 176
7.9 1) Symbols over time and their frequency for each date (SQ9), and 2) symbols having and not having a piece of evidence (SQ10) . . . 178

7.10 a) Interface for having an overview of the variations of a single iconography (SQ12) and b) for exploring the artworks in which the variation is found . . . 183
7.11 Overview of the iconographies with a level 1 subject which is part of a symbol (SQ13) . . . 185
7.12 First-level subject variation over time of the iconography of Saturn (SQ14) . . . 186
7.13 Most rare and frequent recognizing attributes for each iconography (SQ16) . . . 187
7.14 a) Frequency of attributes not marked as recognizing of the iconography of Saturn; b) total frequency of recognizing and non-recognizing attributes of the same iconography . . . 189
7.15 Textual sources grouped according to the artwork's author . . . 192
7.16 Textual sources grouped according to the artwork's date . . . 193
7.17 Interface for comparing the artworks involved in a visual pattern citation. In this example, Durer's *Hercules Killing the Stymphalian Birds* (on the left) visually cites Pollaiolo's *Hercules Killing Nessus* (on the right). All the artwork images are in the public domain . . . 196
7.18 Network of artworks involved in a visual citation (yellow) and their cultural phenomena (red) . . . 197
7.19 a) Percentage of interpretations citing evidence; b) percentages of recognitions citing evidence; c) correlation between recognition and evidence type; d) frequency of piece of evidence per type. . . . 200
7.20 a) Number of artworks having a description of one, two, or three levels; b) levels described in each artwork. . . . 200
7.21 a) Recognitions giving support to other ones divided per type; b) the network of iconological recognitions. . . . 201
7.22 Overview of the level of description of artworks involved in a common cultural phenomenon. a) shows the number of phenomena recognized in artworks described at multiple levels, solely at the third level or both; b) focuses on only those phenomena having at least one artwork not described at other levels than the third one; c) network of all the artworks having at least a cultural phenomenon (red), divided in artworks representing cultural phenomena only (green) or described at multiple levels (yellow); d) graph showing only the artworks described solely with cultural phenomena (green) enriched with artworks presenting the same phenomenon but described at multiple levels (yellow) . . . 204
7.23 Distribution of artworks having only cultural phenomena description (green) according to the bibliographical source (RQ4.1) . . . 205

8.1 Description of the example using ICON (level 1) . . . 232
8.2 Description of the example using ICON (level 2 and 3) . . . 233
8.3 Description of the example using VIR . . . 234

8.4 Description of the example using Wikidata 234

10.1 Lorenzo Lotto, *Venus and Cupid*, 1520s, Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York. Public domain 254
10.2 How the network appears (a) with the 5WH questions and sub-questions still unbound, (b) after answering the ?Who question, (c) the ?What question, and (d) at the end of the information retrieval. 257
10.3 This Figure shows an interface for art historians to steer the INN expansion process. The historian can click on certain questions to expand them further and zoom in and out. 260